

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 CURTIS L. DOWNING, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 STEVEN B. WOLFSON, et al.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02131-APG-PAL

ORDER

(Mots. Ext. Time – ECF Nos. 19, 20, 21, 24;
Mot. for Filed Docs. ECF No. 35)

12 This matter is before the court on Plaintiffs Edwin Artiga, Gaston Joseph Danjou, Oscar
13 Perez-Marquez, and Fabian F. Rosas' Motions to Extend Time (ECF No. 19, 20, 21, 24) and
14 Plaintiff Gaston Joseph Danjou's Motion for Documents Filed (ECF No. 35). These Motions are
15 referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and LR IB 1-3 of the Local Rules
16 of Practice.

17 Plaintiffs are prisoners in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections ("NDOC")
18 and they are proceeding in this civil rights action *pro se*, which means that they are not represented
19 by an attorney. *See* LSR 2-1. Plaintiffs commenced this action on September 7, 2016, by filing a
20 civil rights Complaint (ECF No. 1-1) as part of their initiating documents, but they did not pay the
21 filing fee or submit applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). The court informed
22 plaintiffs that the complaint would be retained but no further action would be taken until the matter
23 of the payment of the filing fee is resolved. Order (ECF No. 2). The court ordered plaintiffs to
24 either: (1) file a fully complete application to proceed without prepayment of fees, or (2) pay the
25 full \$400 fee for filing a civil action. Orders (ECF Nos. 2, 4).

26 Plaintiffs Artiga, Perez-Marquez, Danjou, and Rosas have filed motions requesting an
27 extension of time to comply with the court's orders. *See* Mots. (ECF No. 19, 20, 21, 24).¹ Having

28 ¹ Artiga, Perez-Marquez, and Rosas subsequently filed IFP Applications (ECF Nos. 23, 33, 34).

1 reviewed and considered the matter, and for good cause appearing, the motions are granted.


2 Mr. Danjou also filed a Motion (ECF No. 35) requesting a copy of all documents and
3 pleadings filed in this case. To date, Danjou has not filed an IFP application or paid the \$400 filing
4 fee. The court informed plaintiffs that no further action would be taken until the matter of the
5 payment of the filing fee is resolved. Order (ECF No. 2). Thus, the motion is denied.

6 Based on the foregoing,

7 **IT IS ORDERED:**

8 1. Plaintiffs Edwin Artiga, Oscar Perez-Marquez, Gaston Joseph Danjou, and Fabian F.
9 Rosas' Motions to Extend Time (ECF No. 19, 20, 21, 24) are GRANTED.
10 2. Plaintiff Gaston Joseph Danjou's Motion for Documents Filed (ECF No. 35) is
11 DENIED.

12 Dated this 27th day of March, 2017.

13
14 
15 PEGGY A. SEEN
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28